

---

## Joslin Mfg City Providence U.s Supreme

**no. 17-647 in the supreme court of the united states** - iv table of contents—continued: page 2. owners asserting a fifth amendment right to compensation may bring their **supreme court of the united states 1 first street, n.e.** - joslin mfg. co. v. city of providence , 262 u.s. 668, 677-78 (1923) (“[t]he taking of property for public use by a state or one of its municipalities need not be accompanied or preceded by payment, **reply in support of petition for writ of certiorari** - (e.g., *kelo v. city of new london*, 545 u.s. 469, 489 [2005]; *joslin mfg. co. v. city of providence*, 262 u.s. 668, 676-677 [1923].) this case takes the "undivided fee rule" into reductio ad absurdum territory. respondent cites no case, for to the best of our knowledge there is none, in which the "undivided fee rule" was used not just to reduce **state of rhode island and providence plantations ...** - city of providence and other areas within its jurisdiction. see p.l. 1915, ch. 1278, § 1 (establishing pwsb and setting forth the rationale behind its creation); see generally *joslin mfg. co. v. city of providence*, 262 u.s. 668 (1923) (describing pwsb's origins, legislative charges, and limitations). **in the supreme court of the united states - scotusblog** - in the supreme court of the united states *chad m. jarreau, et al., petitioners, v. south lafourche levee district, respondent. on petition for writ of certiorari i ighway esearch e:feaf*